The Synthesis and X-Ray Structural Characterisation of the First Mixed Alkali Metal Organonitrogen Molecular Cluster $LiNa_3[O=P(NMe_2)_3]_3[N=C(NMe_2)_2]_4$

William Clegg,^a Robert E. Mulvey,^{*b,c} Ronald Snaith,^{c,d} Gerald E. Toogood,^b and Kenneth Wade^{*b}

^a Department of Inorganic Chemistry, The University, Newcastle-upon-Tyne NE1 7RU, U.K.

^b Department of Chemistry, Durham University Science Laboratories, South Road, Durham DH1 3LE, U.K.

^c Department of Pure and Applied Chemistry, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow G1 1XL, U.K.

^d University Chemical Laboratory, Lensfield Road, Cambridge CB2 1EW, U.K.

A mixed alkali metal guanidino complex $LiNa_3[O=P(NMe_2)_3]_3[N=C(NMe_2)_2]_4$ (1), the first compound of its type, has been synthesised from BuⁿLi, BuⁿNa, $O=P(NMe_2)_3$, and $HN=C(NMe_2)_2$; an X-ray crystallographic study shows it to be a cubane-type cluster built up of novel μ_3 -N–LiNa₂ and μ_3 -N–Na₃ pyramids though additional Li · · · H–C interactions are indicated.

The metal-nitrogen distances and ligand orientations in iminolithium hexamers $(LiN=CR^1R^2)_6^{1,2}$ and in the tetramer (pyridine·LiN=CPh₂)₄^{2,3} are readily explained in terms of a 'stacked-ring' approach in which 6-membered $(LiN)_3$ ring systems or 4-membered $(LiN)_2$ ring systems form the fun-

damental units from which these molecules are built. $(Li-C)_n^4$ or $(Li-O)_n^5$ ring and stacked-ring structures feature widely in organolithium or lithium alkoxide chemistry. Seeking to extend our knowledge of such systems, we attempted the synthesis of the mixed alkali metal system



Figure 1. (a) The molecular structure of (1). (b) Guanidino ligand orientations over $LiNa_2$ and Na_3 faces of $LiNa_3$ tetrahedron. (c) Selected bond lengths (in Å) and bond angles in (1).

LiNa[N=C(NMe₂)₂]₂ by adding tetramethylguanidine, HN=C(NMe₂)₂ (2 equiv.), to a mixture of n-butyl-lithium (1 equiv.) and n-butyl-sodium (1 equiv.) in chilled n-hexane. The resulting cloudy solution was warmed to 18 °C and an excess of hexamethylphosphoramide [HMPA, O=P(NMe₂)₃; ca. 5 equiv.] was added. Cooling to 0° C afforded pale yellow, extremely moisture-sensitive crystals of the title compound LiNa₃[O=P(NMe₂)₃[N=C(NMe₂)₂]₄ (1), which were recrystallised from hexane-toluene, m.p. 98 °C. This same product, consistently showing an Li:Na ratio of 1:3 [and analysing satisfactorily for (1)] was obtained from subsequent reactions in which the LiBuⁿ: NaBuⁿ ratio was varied within the range 1:1 to 1:3. Yields were high (*ca.* 70% based on the quantity of LiBuⁿ used).

An X-ray crystallographic study of (1) established the LiNa₃N₄ cubane-type structure shown in Figure 1,[†] which shows views of (a) the complete molecule, (b) the guanidino ligand orientations over the LiNa₂ or Na₃ triangles they triply-bridge, and (c) selected bond distances and angles in the LiNa₃N₄ core. Each HMPA ligand occupies an exo site, terminally attached through its oxygen atom to a sodium atom [Na-O distances 2.249(6), 2.275(5), and 2.219(6) Å; Na-O-P angles 173.9(3), 150.1(3), and 164.7(5)°]. The C=N bonds of all four guanidino ligands have lengths [1.254(9), 1.223(9), 1.254(8), and 1.235(8) Å] that are normal for carbon-nitrogen double bonds. Clearly, the LiNa₃N₄ cubane skeletal bonding is highly polar, essentially involving alkali metal cations Li+ and Na⁺ and guanidinate anions [N=C(NMe₂)₂]⁻. Nevertheless, the two lone pairs of electrons on the azomethine nitrogen atoms of such anions, which lie in their N2C=N planes, are formally available to form 2- or 3-centre bonds to neighbouring metal atoms, and use of such bonds helps to rationalize the structure of (1).

From Figure 1(b), we see that the guanidino groups that bridge the LiNa₂ faces of the LiNa₃ tetrahedron are aligned roughly so as to eclipse the lithium atom and one each of the sodium atoms. Such alignments, only slightly distorted from those that would give each guanidino group a μ_2 -bridging role over a particular Li-Na edge, allow each Li-N link to be regarded as a 2-centre 2-electron bond using one of the guanidinate lone pairs, the other forming an unsymmetrical 3-centre 2-electron bond to the two sodium atoms. The fourth guanidino ligand has an orientation compatible with a 2-centre bond to Na(2) and a symmetrical 3-centre bond to Na(1) and Na(3). The N-Li-N bond angles, all ca. 111°, appear consistent with this bonding picture which, however, does not explain the spread of N-Li bond lengths (1.942--2.020 Å), although the mean length (1.98 Å) is appropriate for the expected single bonds. The greater length of the Na-N bonds (mean 2.43 Å) reflects the larger radius of sodium than lithium atoms6 more than it reflects any difference in bond orders.

The suggested assignment of metal-nitrogen bonds to the $LiNa_3N_4$ core of (1) is incidentally incompatible with a 'ring-stacking' description^{1,2} of this molecule, which would require each metal atom to participate in one 2-centre and two 3-centre bonds. The departure from the pattern previously found for the all-lithium imido systems^{1,3} is believed to reflect the difference in the polarising powers of lithium and sodium.

Another manifestation of the strong polarising power of lithium is provided by the guanidino groups bridging the $LiNa_2$ triangles. Each has one methyl group leaning perceptibly towards the lithium atom, as if involved in $Li \cdots H-C$

⁺ Crystal data for (1): C₃₈H₁₀₂LiN₂₁Na₃O₃P₃, M = 1070, monoclinic, a = 12.482(1), b = 35.152(3), c = 15.641(2) Å, $\beta = 106.070(8)^\circ$, U = 6594.6 Å³, Z = 4, $D_c = 1.078$ g cm⁻³, F(000) = 2328, $\mu = 1.40$ mm⁻¹ for Cu- K_{α} radiation ($\lambda = 1.54184$ Å), space group Cc. R = 0.062 for 3980 diffractometer-measured reflections with $F > 3\sigma$ (F), anisotropic thermal parameters, and H atoms in calculated positions. Atomic co-ordinates, bond lengths and angles, and thermal parameters have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre. See Notice to Authors, Issue No. 1, 1986.

bonding interactions of the type familiar in organo and related lithium systems containing co-ordinatively unsaturated metal atoms⁴c^{,7} (the Li · · · C distances involved, 2.9—3.1 Å, imply Li · · · H distances of *ca.* 2.5 Å). Such interactions may explain why the lithium atom in (1), unlike the sodium atoms, does not accommodate an HMPA molecule.

A final feature of (1) worthy of comment concerns the guanidino Me_2N groups. Their lone pairs are consistently orientated in an anti-clockwise manner as viewed in Figure 1(b).

We thank the S.E.R.C. for financial support including a grant towards crystallographic equipment. G. E. T. is on sabbatical leave from the University of Waterloo, Ontario, Canada.

Received, 11th August 1986; Com. 1147

References

1 D. Barr, W. Clegg, R. E. Mulvey, R. Snaith, and K. Wade, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1986, 295.

- 2 D. R. Armstrong, D. Barr, R. Snaith, W. Clegg, R. E. Mulvey, K. Wade, and D. Reed, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1986, in the press.
- 3 D. Barr, W. Clegg, R. E. Mulvey, and R. Snaith, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1984, 79.
- 4 Examples of (Li-C)_n ring and stacked-ring structures: (a) (LiPh TMEDA)₂ (TMEDA = tetramethylethylenediamine), D. Thönnes and E. Weiss, *Chem. Ber.*, 1978, 111, 3157; (b) (LiPh OEt₂)₄, H. Hope and P. P. Power, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1983, 105, 5320; (c) (Li-cyclohexyl)₆, R. Zerger, W. Rhine, and G. D. Stucky, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1974, 96, 6048.
- 5 Examples of $(\text{Li-O})_n$ ring and stacked-ring structures: (a) $[\text{Li}(\text{OC}_6\text{H}_2\text{Me-4-But}_2-2,6)(\text{OEt}_2)]_2$, B. Çetinkaya, I. Gümrükcü, M. F. Lappert, J. L. Atwood, and R. Shakir, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1980, **102**, 2086; (b) Li₄[OC(=CH₂)C₆H₄CH₂NMe₂-2]₄, J. T. B. H. Jastrzebski, G. van Koten, M. J. N. Christophersen, and C. H. Stam, J. Organomet. Chem., 1985, **292**, 319; (c) Li₆[OC(=CH₂)-But']₆, P. G. Williard and G. B. Carpenter, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1985, **107**, 3345.
- 6 A. F. Wells, 'Structural Inorganic Chemistry,' 5th edn., Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1984, p. 312.
- 7 (a) H. M. M. Shearer, R. Snaith, J. D. Sowerby, and K. Wade, *Chem. Commun.*, 1971, 1275; (b) W. E. Rhine, G. Stucky, and S. W. Peterson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1975, 97, 6401; (c) D. Barr, W. Clegg, R. E. Mulvey, and R. Snaith, *J. Chem. Soc.*, *Chem. Commun.*, 1984, 287.